WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Jes	us Alberto Pacheco-Figueroa	Case Number: <u>11-05205M-001</u>
In accordance present and wa detention of th	e detendant bending trial in this case.	42(f), a detention hearing was held on March 28, 2011. Defendant was preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the NDINGS OF FACT
I find by a prer	oonderance of the evidence that:	NDINGS OF FACT
		ited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
⊠		ed offense, was in the United States illegally.
×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant contact	cts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.
The C	Court incorporates by reference the materia the hearing in this matter, except as noted	al findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour I in the record.
		NCLUSIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the defenda	
2.	No condition or combination of conditio	ns will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
		IS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections appeal. The contractions	facility separate, to the extent practicable, fi defendant shall be afforded a reasonable o States or on request of an attorney for the 0	te Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending pportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
		AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this d of the motion for review/reconsideration to	etention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial

Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and

DATE: March 28, 2011

investigate the potential third party custodian.

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge